US-China Education Review A, April 2025, Vol. 15, No. 4, 256-262
doi: 10.17265/2161-623X/2025.04.002

Enlightenment of the U.S. iPad Education Model for Smart Classroom Development in Rural Basic Education in China

ZHANG Wen, LI Yunxuan, DENG Jiyun
Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China

This study examines the U.S. iPad education model as a reference, utilizing literature analysis and interviews with educators from Jamestown Community College. Findings reveal that the U.S. enhances educational equity through "techno-ecological integration", "teacher competency training", and "instructional logic reconstruction". In contrast, a survey of 197 rural Chinese teachers identifies three bottlenecks in smart classroom development: "weak infrastructure", "resource supply-demand mismatch", and "digital literacy gaps among teachers and students". Accordingly, this paper proposes an ecological solution encompassing "universal infrastructure, localized digital resources, and deepened educational equity", aiming to address the "hardware-over-effectiveness" dilemma in rural smart classroom construction and facilitate the transition from "digital coverage" to "high-quality development" in rural education.

Keywords: iPad, rural areas, smart classrooms, educational equity

Introduction

Amid the global wave of educational informatization, the U.S. launched the "ConnectED" initiative in 2012, integrating iPads into classrooms to inject new momentum into rural educational equity through techno-ecological integration and pedagogical innovation. China has also prioritized educational digitization as a strategic pillar for rural revitalization, evidenced by policies such as the Education Informatization 2.0 Action Plan (2018), the Digital Rural Development Strategy (2019), and the 2023 Ministry of Education initiative "Digital Education Empowering Rural Revitalization". However, challenges like "technology idling", "resource incompatibility", and "digital literacy gaps" persist in practice, exacerbated by severe teacher shortages in remote regions. Against this backdrop, smart classrooms, as core vehicles for digital transformation, hold critical value. This study explores how to adapt international experiences—particularly the U.S. iPad model—to build a localized smart education ecosystem for rural China.

iPad Applications in K-12 Education

Since Apple introduced the first iPad in 2010, its touch interactivity and portability have driven global educational digitization. In the U.S., Roslyn High School pioneered iPad use as an interactive classroom tool,

Acknowledgment: This paper was supported by the Program of Digital Empowerment of Basic Education in Remote Areas—A Comparative Study of China and the United States (202410065158).
ZHANG Wen, School of Foreign Studies, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China.
LI Yunxuan, School of Foreign Studies, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China.
DENG Jiyun, School of Foreign Studies, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China.

followed by the nationwide "one-to-one initiative", which distributed iPads across 600+ school districts. By 2013, over eight million iPads were sold globally to educational institutions, with 4.5 million deployed in U.S. schools. Apple's education-specific tools (e.g., Classroom, Pages, Keynote) further propelled K-12 adoption.

Internationally, Canada's West Ferris Middle School utilized iPads for cross-disciplinary collaboration, Australia's "iPad for Learning" program integrated digital resources into curricula, and Japan's GIGA initiative distributed 7.4 million tablets (28% iPads) to K-12 schools (MM Research Institute). Meanwhile, the U.K., Scotland, and South Korea prioritized digital equity through infrastructure investments with the popularity of iPad in education.

In China, iPad adoption has followed a "policy-driven pilot → tool-based application → subject integration" trajectory. Supported by the China Education Society's 12th Five-Year Plan research project, the High School Affiliated to Renmin University pioneered iPad-integrated teaching experiments in 2010. Subsequently, schools in developed cities like Beijing and Hangzhou followed suit. For instance, Huazhong University of Science and Technology Elementary School utilized iPads in science, English, and interdisciplinary practical courses, reporting high levels of proactive behavioral and emotional engagement among students in smart classroom environments (Huang, 2016).

However, most schools have adopted iPads merely as "digital textbooks" without deep pedagogical integration. For example, while Nanning No. 14 Middle School's Wuxiang Campus established a network system to provide iPads for all teachers and students, instructor Deng Xiarong (2021) noted persistent "device underutilization" in history classes. This highlights systemic limitations persisting: First, implementation remains largely confined to urban centers, exacerbating the urban-rural digital divide. Second, pedagogical applications often stagnate at superficial "device-as-e-book" utilization due to teachers' limited digital literacy, reflecting a persistent "hardware-over-ecosystem" policy bias. Third, scholarly attention has predominantly focused on domestic case studies, while cross-national comparative analyses remain underexplored.

iPad Applications in U.S. K-12 Education

Techno-ecological Integration

The U.S. has established a multi-layered, dynamically evolving policy framework to build a technology ecosystem supporting iPad-based education. At the infrastructure level, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) advances dual initiatives—the E-Rate Program and Connect America Fund (CAF). The E-Rate Program employs a tiered subsidy model to prioritize broadband access for remote schools, while CAF incentivizes private telecom operators to upgrade rural bandwidth, enabling HD video-based instruction, VR virtual experiments, and other advanced applications. Complemented by 1:1 device programs, government subsidies reduce costs for educational iPads, allowing rural schools to equip every student with devices. In resource provisioning, the U.S. centers its digital content ecosystem on Open Educational Resources (OER). Educators are empowered to freely adapt and share materials through platforms like Google Classroom, while Apple's Schoolwork platform streamlines curriculum distribution. This approach not only democratizes access to high-quality resources but also encourages localized teaching adaptations.

Educational equity initiatives have evolved beyond mere device accessibility to prioritize usage empowerment. California's Computer Science Strategic Implementation Plan (2019) exemplifies this shift,

mandating computer science integration into K-12 curricula to ensure universal coding education. The National Educational Technology Plan 2024 (NETP 2024) further redefines equity by emphasizing "empowered usage"—ensuring rural students not only access devices but also develop the skills to harness digital tools for transformative learning outcomes. Together, these policies reflect a systemic commitment to embedding iPads as catalysts for both technological advancement and social equity in American education.

Teacher-Student Competencies Enhancement

The U.S. adopts a structured approach to teacher digital literacy training, characterized by "standards-driven guidance, collaborative support, and self-directed development". Policy-wise, the ISTE Standards for Educators (2017) outline seven roles for K-12 teachers, including "learning designer" and "data analyst", to align professional development with educational technology integration. In practice, schools build tailored support networks to address varying competency levels: Technology coordinators manage device operations and tool training, while instructional designers collaborate with teachers to develop discipline-specific digital lesson plans, offering tiered professional development. Crucially, teacher autonomy acts as a driving force. Open digital resource platforms provide abundant self-learning materials, with studies revealing that 90% of teachers rely on online platforms to explore educational technology tools. This intrinsic motivation for continuous self-improvement forms the spiritual cornerstone of technology-driven education.

For students, California's Computer Science Strategic Plan (2019) mandates compulsory coding courses for all K-12 students, establishing grade-specific standards for knowledge, concepts, and skills. As one interviewed educator noted, students receive training in library settings on database navigation and research methodologies. Middle school curricula typically include a 10-week module covering computer fundamentals, safe digital practices, and tools like Microsoft or Google applications. Teachers further embed digital literacy into daily instruction. For instance, when students use iPads to research class topics, educators guide them in evaluating source credibility and cross-verifying information across multiple references, cultivating critical thinking skills essential for the digital age.

Instructional Model Innovation

In U.S. K-12 education, iPad integration has fostered a closed-loop instructional model encompassing "pre-class diagnosis, in-class interaction, and post-class extension", driven by data-informed personalized learning and blended pedagogy to transcend traditional educational boundaries.

During the pre-class phase, teachers utilize digital assessment tools (e.g., Classroom App) to generate student "digital profiles" by synthesizing multidimensional data—homework patterns, resource preferences, and knowledge gaps—enabling differentiated resource allocation tailored to individual needs. Transitioning to the classroom, blended learning dissolves spatial constraints, extending instruction across online and offline realms (Zhang & Du, 2016). After introducing topics through traditional lectures, teachers assign inquiry-based tasks via iPads, supplemented with curated digital resources (e.g., videos, articles). Students leverage iPad functionalities like hyperlinking and search tools to expand their learning space, collaborate in groups to exchange information, synthesize content features, and construct knowledge collectively. Finally, students present and share their learning outcomes with the whole class, effectively forming a multi-dimensional interaction among teachers and students as well as among students themselves. In post-class, iPads extend learning scenarios and redefine assessment paradigms. Aligned with the "improvement and development"

evaluation philosophy, teachers conduct multidimensional, dynamic, and growth-oriented process assessments by analyzing digital homework submissions (Wang & Xiong, 2014). This approach replaces traditional one-dimensional testing, capturing nuanced progress in skills, engagement, and critical thinking. For example, a student's iterative revisions of an essay on an iPad—tracked through version histories and peer feedback—allow teachers to evaluate not only final outcomes but also problem-solving strategies and intellectual growth over time. Through this holistic model, iPads transcend their role as mere tools, becoming catalysts for a student-centered, boundaryless learning ecosystem.

Bottlenecks in Smart Classroom Development in Rural China

Weak Infrastructure: Insufficient Digital Hardware and Network Connectivity

Rural areas grapple with limited network coverage and unstable internet connectivity, leading to frequent delays and disconnections when teachers and students access digital educational resources. These challenges hinder online resource sharing and diminish both instructional effectiveness and learning experiences. Surveys reveal that approximately 10% of rural teachers report severe shortages of digital devices in their schools, while nearly 70% highlight delayed equipment repairs due to a lack of dedicated technical support teams. To address these gaps, educators urgently call for increased funding from schools or education authorities to upgrade and expand digital infrastructure.

Resource Integration and Application Inefficiency: Supply-Demand Mismatch

Despite the vast resources available on national platforms like the National Smart Education Platform, their alignment with rural pedagogical contexts remains inadequate. When addressing challenges in current digital teaching practices, 63.33% of rural teachers cite insufficient access to high-quality digital resources, with concerns such as "resources lack effective sharing mechanisms" and "urging for more high-quality digital resources"—a problem attributed to the incompatibility of existing resources with rural contexts (He et al., 2024). This mismatch undermines the potential of digital tools to address localized educational needs, perpetuating gaps in teaching efficacy and student engagement.

The Digital Literacy Dilemma: Weak Teacher-Student Digital Literacy

The gap between "basic usage" and "effective application" of digital tools among teachers remains stark. Surveys reveal that 36.67% of rural teachers only sporadically use digital devices, while a majority (59.9%) narrowly equate digital literacy with "operational skills", overlooking deeper competencies like technology-driven pedagogical innovation. Some rural teachers prioritize PPT-centric lecturing while neglecting student engagement and interactive dynamics, contradicting the principles of student-centered education. This cognitive gap is exacerbated by systemic training deficiencies: Over 20% of teachers report receiving no formal digital skill training, and existing programs often rely on fragmented online self-learning modules lacking hands-on guidance or structured curricula.

Student digital literacy development is equally underprioritized. Only 20% of teachers confirm that their schools integrate digital literacy into core curricula, indicating poor implementation of ICT courses. Beyond school, 64.53% of teachers observe students "occasionally" using digital resources for learning outside classrooms, with limited ability to critically evaluate or safely utilize online educational tools. This aligns with scholar Li Xiaojing's findings: Rural students predominantly use digital devices for entertainment and social

interaction, with minimal impact on knowledge acquisition, critical thinking, or personal growth. Together, these issues underscore a systemic neglect of digital competency cultivation, perpetuating cycles of disengagement and inequity in rural smart classrooms.

Insights and Implementation Pathways

Universal Infrastructure Accessibility

The digital transformation of rural education must prioritize addressing foundational gaps in hardware and network infrastructure. China can draw lessons from the U.S. E-Rate Program by adopting a tiered subsidy mechanism to bridge the urban-rural connectivity divide. For instance, dedicated funds could be established to extend 5G base stations to rural schools and provide internet subsidies for economically disadvantaged families, ensuring seamless digital learning both inside and outside classrooms. A collaborative "government-led, enterprise-partnered" model should be promoted to engage tech companies in infrastructure development. To address post-deployment maintenance challenges, rural schools can form dedicated digital support teams responsible for regular equipment inspections and rapid fault response protocols, thereby minimizing instructional disruptions caused by hardware failures.

Localization of Digital Resources

China must shift from a "top-down resource delivery" model to "collaborative co-creation". This requires establishing a rural teacher-led open educational resources mechanism, empowering educators to design culturally relevant courses rooted in local cultural contexts, thereby enhancing the contextualized adaptability of resources. These localized materials should be shared nationwide via platforms like the National Smart Education Platform, ensuring rural teachers access to open-access, high-quality digital resources. Simultaneously, resource platforms should prioritize lightweight, interactive content tailored to rural learners' cognitive needs to foster engagement and practical application.

Deepening Educational Equity

To advance educational equity, China must prioritize enhancing the digital competencies of both rural teachers and students. For teachers, a tiered training framework should be implemented: foundational skills training (e.g., device operation, resource retrieval) for those with limited technical proficiency, and advanced modules (e.g., blended learning design, data-driven assessment) for core educators. Additionally, digital teaching support teams led by educational research departments should provide rural teachers with on-demand technical consultations and access to shared teaching case libraries. To ensure sustainability, cultivating professional conviction is critical: (1) inspiring teachers to embrace self-driven growth, adopt digital tools, and innovate pedagogies; (2) fostering a deep commitment to rural education through incentives that enhance job satisfaction and retention, ensuring teachers remain rooted in their communities.

For students, integrating ICT curricula into compulsory education is essential for personalized digital literacy development (Wu et al., 2022). This requires: (1) ensuring full implementation of K-12 information technology courses with differentiated instruction: Lower grades focus on basic device operation and information retrieval, while upper grades emphasize cybersecurity awareness and critical digital literacy,; (2) systematically integrating digital tools across disciplines to transform devices from "entertainment terminals" into "learning partners", thereby fostering autonomy in knowledge acquisition.

Conclusion

The U.S. iPad model underscores that educational equity hinges on synergistic ecosystems where technology, resources, and literacy converge to shift pedagogy from "teacher-centric" to "student-centric". For China's rural basic education, the key lies not in replicating devices but in adopting an "ecological mindset"—constructing a sustainable ecosystem where technology aligns with rural contexts, resources are rooted in local culture, and teachers drive autonomous innovation.

However, several limitations warrant attention. First, the survey sample predominantly covers Sichuan and Guangdong Provinces, insufficiently reflecting the diversity of rural contexts such as pastoral regions of Northwest China and mountainous areas of Southwest China. Second, the policy recommendations may underestimate practical barriers such as interdepartmental coordination and funding allocation during implementation. Future research should integrate long-term cross-regional tracking data and interdisciplinary perspectives to refine the ecosystemic adaptation model for rural smart classrooms, ultimately advancing from "digital poverty alleviation" to "digital holistic education".

References

Apple Inc. (2022). iPad and Mac in education results. https://www.apple.com/uk/education/docs/ipad-in-education-results.pdf

Abamu, J. (2017). How much do educators care about edtech efficacy? Less than you might think[EB/OL]. (2017-07-17)[2024-08-16]https://www.edsurge.com/news/2017-07-17-howmuch-do-educators-care-about-edtech-efficacyless-than-you-might-think.

Deng, X. R. (2021). Research on the application of iPad-based interactive teaching in junior high school history education (Master's thesis, Southwest University, 2021).

Federal Communications Commission (FCC). (2021). WC Docket No. 21-31: FCC approves final rules to support Wi-Fi hotspots through E-Rate Program. https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-404089A1.pdf

He, W., Yan, J. L., Liu, H. X., & Hu, X. Y. (2024). Research on the rural teachers' constant usage willingness toward the national smart education platform for primary and secondary schools from the perspective of digital transformation. *Modern Educational Technology, 34*(8), 59-68.

Hu, S. X., & Cui, H. K. (2025). Realistic challenges and practical paths for the digital transformation of rural basic education. *China Educational Informatization, 31*(3), 74-84.

Huang, X. R. (2016). The study on pupil's academic engagement and the influence factors in the smart classroom environment (Master's thesis, Central China Normal University).

Li, Q. S., & Li, J. G. (2015). The reference value of iPad-based instant interactive teaching. *Journal of the Chinese Society of Education, 36*(9), 106.

Li, Y. S., Shi, P. Y., & Xia, C. S. (2014). Case analysis of successful tablet-based educational practices in schools: Reflections on digital classrooms and culture at Fengshi Affiliated Primary School. *China Educational Technology, 35*(5), 97-103.

Sun, Y. J., & Yang, W. A. (2025). Digital technology empowering high-quality development of rural education: Logical framework, challenges, and solutions. *Theory and Practice of Education, 45*(7), 28-33.

Tang, Y. B., Yi, H. Z., & Mou, X. W. (2024). Insights from U.S. experience on bridging the educational digital divide in China: An analysis of the 2024 National Educational Technology Plan. *Journal of National Academy of Education Administration, 26*(12), 43-51.

Wang, Y. L., & Xiong, M. (2014). Practical exploration of personalized instructional unit design. *Curriculum, Teaching Materials, and Methods, 34*(1), 56-60.

Weller, M., de los Arcos, B., Farrow, R., Pitt, B., & McAndrew, P. (2015). The impact of OER on teaching and learning practice. *Open Praxis, 7*(4), 351-361.

Wu, D., Zhu, S., & Wang, M. Q. (2022). Integrated construction of a student digital literacy cultivation system: Challenges, principles, and pathways. *China Educational Technology,* (7), 43-49+63.

Xiao, J. M., & Zhao, J. (2025). Digital technology empowering high-quality development of rural small-scale schools: Challenges, implications, and pathways. *The Inservice Education and Training of School Teachers, 42*(1), 42-47.

Yang, Y., Jiao, C. F., & Xia, C. S. (2014). Research on trends of deep integration of information technology and curriculum supported by smart terminals. *China Educational Technology*, *35*(5), 69-73.

Yu, X. H. (2014). The U.S. iPad Project and its implications for China's electronic schoolbag initiative. *Open Education Research*, *20*(2), 46-55.

Yue, Y. S. (2016). Research on iPad-based smart classroom design for geography education (Master's thesis, Northeast Normal University, 2016).

Zhang, J., & Du, S. R. (2020). Connotation, value claim and implementation path of mixed teaching. *Teaching and Management*, (9), 11-13.