

The Study of Consumer Brand Rumor Counteraction Behavior

HUANG Yu

Nanjing Foreign Language School Xianlin Campus, Nanjing, China

In the era of digital communication and widespread use of social media, brand reputation management has become increasingly challenging. The rise of both positive and negative rumors surrounding brands has made it critical for companies to understand how consumers react to such information in order to safeguard their image and maintain customer loyalty. Brand rumors, often unverified or false, can spread rapidly through platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and online forums, significantly impacting consumer perceptions and market positions. The ability of consumers to counteract these rumors is a crucial aspect of modern brand management. This study aims to explore consumer brand rumor counteraction behavior, focusing on how consumers respond to rumors and how their actions can mitigate the negative effects of misinformation on brands. By analyzing key factors such as skepticism, fact-checking, and counter-narrative amplification, this paper provides valuable insights into consumer behaviors that influence brand reputation and offers practical implications for effective brand management strategies.

Keywords: Consumer brand, rumor, behavior, digital era

Introduction

In this digital era of communication, coupled with social media, brand reputation management has become more difficult (Dwivedi et al., 2021). This is particularly true because there are positive and brand rumors that can ultimately make or break customer loyalty and market position. So, any company needs to understand how its customers respond to these rumors to maintain a good image and customer loyalty. This paper focuses on consumer brand rumor counteraction behavior and examines how consumers deal with brand-related rumors to minimize their effects.

Understanding Brand Rumors

Brand rumors refer to information that is not verified or correct about a specific brand that is spread by consumers, media, and even competitors (Di Domenico, Sit, Ishizaka, & Nunan, 2021). These unverified claims can be about product quality, company practices, or the behavior of executives. The digital realm has worsened the quick movement of rumors through Twitter, Facebook, and internet forums where such information passes.

Consumer Rumor Counteraction Behavior

According to Dietrich and Russell (2021), counteraction behavior in consumer brand rumors refers to how consumers act and manage rumors about brands. It can be divided into several categories: skepticism, fact-checking, and counter-narrative amplification.

Skepticism

Most commonly, consumers counteract rumors through skepticism. Ahmad and Guzmán (2021) refer to this as doubt about the truthfulness and origin of the rumor. The behavior is usually influenced by previous experience with the brand, prior knowledge, and any other expertise, plus source credibility. For instance, upon hearing rumors that a particular company is involved in illegal activities, skeptical consumers may investigate this claim from trustworthy newspapers or official company statements before re-evaluating their opinions.

Fact-Checking

Fact-checking is another significant aspect of rumor counteraction behavior. Fact-checking means denying rumors or confirming them with correct facts, through which every consumer endeavors to look for accuracy regarding such statements (Kirchner & Reuter, 2020). They do this either for its validity or uncertainty, depending on what they have heard or seen possibly using reliable channels like the company's official websites or third-party reviews. Therefore, fact-checking helps one make informed decisions and prevents misinformation from affecting perceptions.

Amplification of Counter-Narratives

The power of social media as an amplification tool is enormous since individuals can reach vast numbers of people quickly. For instance, if there were rumors about poor customer service concerning a particular brand, satisfied customers would post about their good experiences online to counter the negative narrative. In this manner, consumers may influence brand stories and dilute rumor effects. In other words, by doing this act, they can counter false information by purposefully sharing facts or sharing their positive experiences after bad stories (Borrelli, Iandoli, Ramirez-Marquez, & Lipizzi, 2023). They can amplify counter-narratives so that they banish misinformation from circulation entirely.

Factors Influencing Rumor Counteraction Behavior

Several aspects, including personal involvement, brand trust, and perceived source credibility, influence consumers' behaviors in dealing with rumors.

Individual Involvement

Rumor counteraction behavior is likely to occur among consumers with high individual involvement with the brand. This means that the extent to which consumers feel emotionally or financially attached to a brand determines their involvement (S. Zhang, Hou, Y. Zhang, Yao, & Z. Zhang, 2024). For example, loyal customers of a particular brand may defend it from all rumors and even look for factual information that can negate false claims. The strong link they feel towards that specific brand drives them to ensure its reputation is protected and the correct data are spread around.

Trust in Brand

Rumor counteraction is primarily determined by trust in brands. A person who trusts an organization would be more inclined to accept their official communications rather than negative rumors to tarnish their name (Gil de Zúñiga, & Kim, 2022). Like every relationship, trust arises from consistency in quality, transparency, and positive past experiences. When such high confidence levels exist between corporations and buyers, these enterprises become less vulnerable to rumors; therefore, countering them becomes much easier for former businesses.

Perceived Credibility of the Source

The credibility of the rumor source significantly influences the consumer's reaction (Pal, Chua, & Goh, 2020). The consumer is likelier to question and counteract rumors from unreliable or biased sources. On the other hand, if the source seems reliable, the consumer might consider verifying the information before taking any action. The source's reputation among consumers, expertise, and possible motives are essential factors in determining consumers' choices regarding responding to rumors.

Implications for Brand Management

The implications of consumer brand rumor counteraction behavior operations profoundly affect brand management. From what is known about addressing consumer brand rumor counteraction behavior, it can be established that brand managers can develop positive strategies to counteract rumors and foster favorable consumer actions toward their brands.

Proactive Communication

Brands ought to start chatting on these issues, for example, using statistical methods for the suspected false news (Essamri, McKechnie, & Winklhofer, 2019). By offering real-time and consistent details, companies may minimize their impacts on possible sound gossip and sway customers who think they know everything. Up-to-date reports, no distorted suggestions, or regular visits to client consumers help develop a strong image of one's business and control rumors well.

Creating Credibility

It is important to take time to invest in ideas that create trust aimed at managing rumors. Focusing on quality products, ethics, and engaging in dialogue with consumers will enable a strong basis for relying upon this information to shun negative rumors while encouraging hope in the form of word spreads (Essamri et al., 2019).

Encourage Fact-Checking Going

The opportunity to find correct details and facilities for rejecting the wrong ones could entice people to browse through the company's sites (Kirchner & Reuter, 2020). Therefore, consumers may easily confirm advertising promises at authentic websites, social networking sites, and customer service platforms, thereby restricting the dissemination of false information.

Conclusion

In today's digital world, brand management necessitates a fundamental comprehension of consumer brand rumor counter-action behavior. Having an idea regarding how consumers respond to rumors and, therefore, managing them properly empowers brands to combat wrong information, fostering good consumer interaction. However, skepticism, fact-checking, and counter-narrative amplification significantly affect the brand's image through rumors. In such circumstances, brands should adopt proactive communication, trust building, and encourage fact-checking to help handle rumors while maintaining their image and reputation.

References

- Ahmad, F., & Guzmán, F. (2021). Consumer skepticism about online reviews and their decision-making process: The role of review self-efficacy and regulatory focus. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 38(5), 587-600. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-09-2020-4119>

- Borrelli, D., Iandoli, L., Ramirez-Marquez, J. E., & Lipizzi, C. (2021). A quantitative and content-based approach for evaluating the impact of counter narratives on affective polarization in online discussions. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 9(3), 914-925. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSS.2021.3094775>
- Di Domenico, G., Sit, J., Ishizaka, A., & Nunan, D. (2021). Fake news, social media and marketing: A systematic review. *Journal of Business Research*, 124, 329-341. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.11.037>
- Dietrich, D., & Russell, C. A. (2021). A framework of brand contestation: Toward brand antifragility. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 48(4), 682-708. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucab053>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Ismagilova, E., Hughes, D. L., Carlson, J., Filieri, R., Jacobson, J., ... & Wang, Y. (2021). Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions. *International Journal of Information Management*, 59, 102168. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168>
- Essamri, A., McKechnie, S., & Winklhofer, H. (2019). Co-creating corporate brand identity with online brand communities: A managerial perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 96, 366-375. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.015>
- Gil de Zúñiga, H., & Kim, J. N. (2022). Intervening troubled marketplace of ideas: How to redeem trust in media and social institutions from pseudo-information. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 00027642221118279. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/00027642221118279>
- Kirchner, J., & Reuter, C. (2020). Countering fake news: A comparison of possible solutions regarding user acceptance and effectiveness. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-computer Interaction*, 4(CSCW2), 1-27. Retrieved from <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3415211>
- Pal, A., Chua, A. Y., & Goh, D. H. L. (2020). How do users respond to online rumor rebuttals? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 106, 106243. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.106243>
- Zhang, S., Hou, J., Zhang, Y., Yao, Z., & Zhang, Z. (2024). Detecting social media rumor debunking effectiveness during public health emergencies: An interpretable machine learning approach. *Science Communication*, 10755470241261323. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/10755470241261323>