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Abstract: In Kuwait, there is growing concern over the disposal of wastes produced by hospitals since hospital wastes contain hazardous and infected wastes. All hospitals in Kuwait have adopted incineration as an alternative method to dispose of their wastes. Due to inefficient combustion of hospital incinerators, the Kuwaiti government decided to shut down all hospital incinerators, while the Sabah Incinerator (SAHI) and Shuaiba Incinerator (SUHI) were kept running. This study was initiated to focus on the determination of heavy metals in the bottom ashes produced by the SAHI and SUHI incinerators. Bottom ash was collected over a period of one year and heavy metals were determined. They were shown variation in their concentrations due to the initial waste composition and the operational procedures of the hospital incinerators.
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1. Introduction

In Kuwait, there is a major concern over the increasing amount of hospital wastes as shown in Fig. 1. The amount of hospital wastes generated have doubled in the past 40 years to reach 5 kg to 10 kg per patient, which corresponds to a total of $6 \times 10^3$ tons per year of hazardous hospital solid wastes. The expectation indicates that this figure will increase in the future due to the single disposable items used per patient [1].

Ninety-five percent of current hospital wastes were incinerated in nearly 25 facilities. However, inefficient combustion of hospital incinerators leads hospital incinerators to generate toxic emissions during their daily operations. As a result of epidemiological studies conducted by health authorities, it was revealed that there is a correlation between the proximity of a residence to a hospital incinerator and the incidence of various types of cancer. Thus, the health authorities decided to shut down hospital incinerators and retained the operation of the Sabah Hospital Incinerators (SAHI), which was modernized later on. At the same time, a new incinerator was installed at Shuaiba area called Shuaiba Hospital Incinerator (SUHI). Even though incinerations are good means to reduce the volume of hospital wastes and eliminating infectious components, the subject of hospital incineration is still under public debate. Recent studies showed that the impact of carcinogenic emissions from new hospital incinerators on human health was to be much low [2]. Comprehensive studies have been carried out on the impact of air pollutants in fly ash, while some general information is available from recent published studies on the determination of heavy metals in the bottom ash of hospital incinerators, which are yet to be understood. A preliminary study was conducted on the nature of bottom ash from hospital incinerators in Kuwait in 2001. The results revealed that bottom ash produced by hospital incinerators contained high levels of heavy metals such as Zn, Fe, Pb, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni and Cd. The study suggested that further study should be conducted to understand the differences in the levels of heavy metals [3]. Lo, H. M., and Liao,
Y. L. [4] showed that incinerator bottom ash can create a significant risk to the environment. Recently, the European Union Council Declared that, hospital bottom ash as a dangerous waste material [5]. Unsafe disposal of hospital waste ash in a landfill can cause contamination to the soil and groundwater due to leaching of heavy metals [6]. For this reason, hospital waste ash requires special attention [7] during its disposal. Alba, N., et al. [8] reported that six hospital medical waste incinerator samples in northern Spain were collected and analyzed. Results showed high concentrations of chromium in hospital waste ash. However, Zhao, L., et al. [9] studied the chemical properties of heavy metals in hospital wastes incinerator ashes in China. They reported that heavy metals, such as Ca, Cr and Na were found in various quantities, where Cr had the highest concentration among other heavy metals. Kougemitrou, I., et al. [10] studied bottom ash samples from hospital waste incinerators in Athens, Greece. The results of their research showed that hospital waste ash contained a high content of heavy metals such as Cu and Cr. Zhao, L., et al. [11] studied hospital waste incinerator ash due to the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndromes in China. The analyses showed that hospital bottom ashes contained a larger amount of heavy metals such as Ba, Cu, Cr, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Ti and Zn. Heavy metals, such as Ba, Cr, Ni and Sn, were present in the residual fraction, while Mn, Pb and Zn were present in the Fe-Mn oxides fraction and Cu was present in the organic matter fraction. Zakaria, M., et al. [12] evaluated hospital waste incinerator performances based on their physical and chemical characteristics of bottom ashes. They concluded that incineration processes can easily destroy organic compounds and some heavy metals found in the hospital wastes. Furthermore, Levasseur, B., et al. [13] reported the hospital incinerators could produce large quantities of bottom ashes depend is on the amount of hospital wastes. Astar, M. [14] reported that incineration provides the ultimate means of disposal for toxic compounds. Tessitors, J., and Frankle, C. [15] pointed out that many hospital authorities decided to dispose of all hospital wastes through incineration for the following reasons: (1) landfill authorities are unwilling to accept any hospital wastes, and (2) liability consideration due to possible transmission of diseases such as AIDS and viral diseases.
et al. [16] and Timothy A., et al. [17] stated that pollutants in the bottom ashes from hospital waste incinerators may pose hazards to the environment if they are not properly disposed of. Rich, C., and Cherry, K. [18] pointed out that it is important to have a clear procedure to dispose of hospital wastes, as otherwise, there is a risk of severe acute respiratory diseases spreading later on. While Shen, T. [19] highlighted that the public are always concerned about hospital wastes, the potential risk always existed. Over 80% of many main elements, such as Fe, P, Al, Sr, Ca and some of the toxic elements, such as Cr, Co, Mg, Ni, Mo can remain in the bottom ash after incineration [20]. Also, 90% of Cd and 88% of Sb can be volatilized but they also remain in the bottom ash [20]. Based on recent research, low volatility heavy metals, such Ni, Cr, Cu and Zn always remain in the bottom ash [21]. The aim of this work was to identify heavy metals and their levels in hospital bottom ash and to compare the results of this study with published results. For this study, bottom ash samples were collected and analyzed over a period of one year.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Hospital Incinerator

This study was carried out in two hospital incinerators—SAHI and SUHI. They are located in urban areas. The nature of the incinerated waste is hospital waste and hospitals regularly incinerate without any preliminary sorting. SAHI was built in 1980 but since 2002 major technical modifications were made to update the current standards. SUHI, the second incinerator was built in 2010 and is located in the south of Kuwait city. Both incinerators are equipped with two combustion chambers with design capacities between 350 kg/h and 650 kg/h of hospital wastes. They are fitted with efficient electrostatic precipitators and liquid lime scrubbers. The combustion chamber temperature goes up to 1,500 °C. The decontaminated exhaust is released into the atmosphere by a 50 m high chimney. Bottom ash residues are transported by vibrating conveyors and stored in a bunker. All ash residues are subsequently transported to trucks for final disposal in a landfill.

2.2 Bottom Ash Sampling and Analysis

The bottom ash samples were collected from two incinerators. All samples were taken between January and December 2013. The bottom ash samples were collected at the end of operation day. A total number of 96 samples were collected in a period of one year from the combustion chamber. The samples were kept in a closed non-contaminating plastic bag. Each sample was about 1 kg to 2 kg. After all of the samples were collected, they were transported to the laboratory where they were analyzed. In the laboratory, each ash sample was crushed in a porcelain mortar and pestle, passed through a #40-mesh sieve, and stored in glass bottles. All ash samples were dried in the oven at 105 °C for 3 hour to remove any humidity. Approximately 1 gm + 2 gm of dry ash sample was placed in a digestion tube and then 15 mL of HNO3 (100%) was added and mixed thoroughly for 1 hour at 70 °C. The sample was cooled to room temperature before filtration. After filtration, 50 mL of the sample was collected and sent directly for analyses. The Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES). Varian SPS3 analysis [22] was used for the analysis of heavy metals such as Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, Ni, Mn, Mo, Pb, Se, Sn, Sr, V and Zn. The ICP-OES instrument was already calibrated using reference standards and suitable blanks in order to produce high quality reading. Sampling and analysis were performed according to the Standard Methods [23].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Chemical Characteristics of Bottom Ash

The bottom ash samples were investigated for heavy metal concentration (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, Sn, Sr, V and Zn). Table 1 shows the concentrations of heavy metals in the bottom ash of SAHI.
Heavy Metal Determination in the Bottom Solid Waste Ash Produced from Sabah and Shuaiba Hospital Incinerators in Kuwait

Table 1  Concentration of heavy metals in bottom ash SAHI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Se</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All reading in mg/L.

The concentration of Cd varied from 0.01 mg/L to 0.03 mg/L with an average of 0.02 mg/L, while Co concentration ranged from 0.06 mg/L to 0.08 mg/L with an average of 0.07 mg/L. However, concentration of Cr ranged from 4.10 mg/L to 4.58 mg/L with an average of 4.42 mg/L. The concentration of Cu ranged from 6.18 mg/L to 7.5 mg/L with an average of 6.42 mg/L, but Fe concentration ranged from 46.9 mg/L to 191 mg/L with an average of 163 mg/L. The concentration of Mn varied from 10 mg/L to 1.24 mg/L with an average of 1.13 mg/L, and Mo concentration ranged from 0.06 mg/L to 0.08 mg/L with an average of 0.07 mg/L. The concentration of Ni ranged from 0.08 mg/L to 0.12 mg/L with an average of 0.09 mg/L. Pb concentrations ranged from 7.08 mg/L to 8.58 mg/L with an average of 7.47 mg/L; however, Se concentrations ranged from < 0.1 mg/L to 0.1 mg/L with an average of 0.1 mg/L. Sn concentration varied from 0.26 mg/L to 0.27 mg/L with an average of 0.26 mg/L. Sr, V and Zn concentrations ranged from 3.58 mg/L to 4.30 mg/L, 0.10 mg/L to 0.11 mg/L, and 120 mg/L to 194 mg/L, respectively, while their average concentrations were 3.77 mg/L, 0.12 mg/L and 175 mg/L, respectively. The levels of heavy metals in bottom ash of the SAHI had an abundance of Zn > Fe > Pb > Cu > Cr > Sr > Mn > Sn > V > Ni > Mo > Co > Cd > Se in each sample. Table 2 shows the ash samples from SUHI.

Cd concentrations ranged from 0.01 mg/L to 0.02 mg/L with an average of 0.02 mg/L; however, Co concentrations ranged from < 0.01 mg/L to 0.03 mg/L with an average of 0.01 mg/L. Cr concentrations ranged from 0.91 mg/L to 1.07 mg/L with an average of 0.94 mg/L. Cu concentrations varied from 15.6 mg/L to 79.7 mg/L with an average of 52.3 mg/L; however, Fe concentrations ranged from 1.72 mg/L to 227 mg/L with an average of 197 mg/L. Mn concentrations ranged from 2.10 mg/L to 3.44 mg/L with an average of 2.65 mg/L, but Mo concentrations ranged from 0.01 mg/L to 0.05 mg/L with an average of 0.02 mg/L. Ni concentrations varied from 0.5 mg/L to 0.76 mg/L with an average of 0.63 mg/L, but Pb concentrations ranged from 27 mg/L to 35.3 mg/L with an average of 30.83 mg/L. Se concentrations ranged from < 0.01 mg/L to 0.01 mg/L with an average of 0.01 mg/L, but Sn concentrations varied from 0.60 mg/L to 0.72 mg/L with an average of 0.67 mg/L. Sr concentrations ranged from 2.17 mg/L to 3.20 mg/L with an average of 2.75 mg/L, but V concentrations ranged from 0.06 mg/L to 0.09 mg/L.
with an average of 0.07 mg/L. Zn concentrations ranged from 111 mg/L to 185 mg/L with an average of 134 mg/L. The levels of heavy metals in the bottom ash of SUHI had an abundance of Fe > Zn > Cu > Pb > Sr > Mn > Cr > Sn > Ni > V > Mo > Cd > Co > Se. In general, the levels of heavy metals in the bottom ash revealed a wide variation in the concentration of heavy metals due to the hospital wastes’ contents.

This also means that incinerators were found to be inadequate in eliminating all of the metal contents. Furthermore, it appeared that the quantities of heavy metals in the hospital wastes may be high and cannot be eliminated easily by incineration. The concentration of Fe was the highest compared to other heavy metals, as shown in Fig 2. Also, metals, such as Zn, Cu and Pb, have high concentrations and can be compared to other elements. The variation in the concentration of heavy metals was probably due to two factors. The first factor was the variation in the hospital waste’s composition, while the second factor was the improper operation of the two incinerators [24]. These levels were not unexpected since hospital wastes normally contain about 20% nonorganic materials and, occasionally, the levels increase as high as 30% [24]. Results show that some of the toxic elements (Cr, Co, Mg, Ni and Mo) always remained in the bottom ash due to their properties of metals [20, 21]. Table 1 shows that SAHI had the highest contents of Zn, Fe, Pb, Cu, Cr, while Zn and Fe had the highest concentrations, while Cd and Se had the lowest concentrations. Table 2 shows that the concentrations of Fe, Zn, Cu, Pb, Sr and Mn were the highest among other metals, while Se, Co, Cd, Mo had the lowest concentrations. Presence of Fe and Zn in the bottom ashes of two incinerators may due to the presence of cans, bottle capsules, pigments, and plastic materials [3, 25]. The results revealed that in comparing the total waste volume of two hospitals in the combustion chamber with the final ash residual collected after incineration process. Results indicated that the most of the waste loaded was burned properly and volume reduction has been achieved in all runs. The result also showed that the ashes collected from incineration process contained high concentrations of Fe, Zn, Cu, Pb. This is due to the fact that their small particles sizes were present and increased their availability surfaces to expose more to the adsorption process. The results revealed that the highest concentration of Fe in the ash might have been due to its highest melting point (1,493 °C), which makes it available in a larger...
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Fig. 2  Average concentration of heavy metals in bottom ash of incinerators.

Table 3  Comparison of parameters of bottom ash of hospital incinerators with published results and EPA TCLP levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heavy metals</th>
<th>SAHI (a)</th>
<th>SUHI (a)</th>
<th>Sabah (b)</th>
<th>Ben-Sina (b)</th>
<th>Psychological (b)</th>
<th>Regulated levels for toxicity of heavy metals (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.01-0.03</td>
<td>0.01-0.02</td>
<td>2.0-10.0</td>
<td>1.0-56.0</td>
<td>2-16</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.06-0.08</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01-0.03</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>4.58-4.10</td>
<td>0.91-1.07</td>
<td>200-667</td>
<td>100-2,000</td>
<td>183-633</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>6.15-7.50</td>
<td>15.6-79.7</td>
<td>150-687</td>
<td>138-1,998</td>
<td>208-2,034</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>46.9-191</td>
<td>127-227</td>
<td>727-8,67</td>
<td>1,167-5,700</td>
<td>4,233-1,233</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.10-1.24</td>
<td>2.10-3.44</td>
<td>127-597</td>
<td>31-328</td>
<td>99-389</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.06-0.08</td>
<td>0.01-0.05</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.08-0.12</td>
<td>0.55-0.76</td>
<td>24-41</td>
<td>25-141</td>
<td>21-52</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>7.09-8.58</td>
<td>27.0-35.3</td>
<td>601-2,940</td>
<td>192-5,866</td>
<td>815-2,305</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01-0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01-0.01</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>0.26-0.27</td>
<td>0.60-0.72</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>3.55-4.20</td>
<td>2.30-3.18</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.10-0.12</td>
<td>0.06-0.09</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>120-194</td>
<td>111-185</td>
<td>730-6,800</td>
<td>827-27,800</td>
<td>-16,067</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: (a) This study; (b) [3]; and (c) [26].
amount in the bottom ashes. When the levels of heavy metal of this study were compared with published data, it was found that the existing incinerators' performances were much better than previously, as shown in Table 3.

It seemed likely that both SAHI and SUHI incinerators had high efficiency to reduce the heavy metals. This means that the incinerator performance depends entirely on the combustion degrees. To classify the produced bottom ash from the two incinerators as hazardous or not, toxicity characteristic leaching procedure and characteristic waste Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) list was applied [26]. According to the TCLP guidelines, results showed that Cd, Cr and Se had a lower concentration than TCLP. But Pb concentration was found to have exceeded the permissible limits. Due to Pb toxicity, the bottom ash is considered hazardous waste, as shown in Table 3. Therefore, the produced bottom ash from the two incinerators may be classified as hazardous wastes, and care should be taken during dumping [25, 27, 28]. Otherwise, it can cause problems to the surrounding environment [29, 30]. Therefore, utilization of bottom ash is much safer than dumping it in landfill sites [31-33].

4. Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrated that incineration is the best technology to destroy the increasing volume of hospital wastes. Hospital incinerators do not completely destroy heavy metals, but simply concentrate them into bottom ash. Bottom ash residues arising from both incinerators were found to contain high concentrations of hazardous elements, such as Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, Sn, Sr, V and Zn. However, the performances of both incinerators were much better than previously. Fe, Zn, Pb and Cu were found in the bottom ashes of two hospital incinerators. They were in high concentrations compared to other heavy metals found in the bottom ashes. Moreover, the highest melting points of some heavy metals mean a larger amount of their residues. The average concentrations of Pb were found to be higher than TCLP; therefore, bottom ashes could be classified as hazardous waste on most sampling days. It is likely to have highly mobile constituents of heavy metals. If they were improperly managed, they could contaminate the groundwater. Therefore, it is recommended that more efforts are needed to identify why bottom ashes contain high concentrations of Fe, Zn, Pb and Cu. Hospital bottom ashes should be disposed by properly designed engineered treatment methods.
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